



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,267	05,267 07/13/2001		Victor Chornenky	P894 US	2731
75	90	08/06/2003			
IP Legal				EXAMINER	
Medtronic AVE, Inc. 3576 Unocal Place Santa Rosa, CA 95403				KEANEY, ELIZABETH MARIE	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2882	
				DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		41			
	Applicant(s)				
	CHORNENKY, VIC	TOR			
	Art Unit				
	2882				
ie d	orrespondence add	lress			
TION FOR ALLOWANCE. Ilication. A proper reply to a hich places the application in hely filed Request for Continued					
ailin F Th	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP			
amo ply	R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The approriginally set in the final ling date of the final reje	ropriate extension Office action; or			
	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
:h (see NOTE below);				
ate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the			
of f	inally rejected claim	ns.			
a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
ns	idered but does NO	T place the			
_Y [·]	to issues which wer	e newly			
)∏ will be entered ow or appended.	and an			

Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/905,267	CHORNENKY, VICTOR
Examiner		Art Unit
	Elizabeth Gemmell	2882
_		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with th

THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this applicant rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment who condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6 and 15</u> .
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-14 and 16-20</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitiation "an actual dose rate based on an emitter cut-off energy, a radiation depth, and received current and votage sensor signals" would require a new seach.

Supervisor Laboratory Examiner TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800